

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/165,513 | 10/02/1998 | VEERASAMY VIJAYEN | 14089-002540 | 7976 | |
| 75 | 90 06/18/2002 | | | | |
| MARK D BARRIS | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 8TH FLOOR | AND TOWNSEND AND | RESAN, STEVAN A | | | |
| TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941113834 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | TAPER NOMBER | |
| • | | | 1773 | /2 | |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002 | • 0 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| $\sqrt{1}$ | F | • | 1 | 8 |
|------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | | _ | ì |

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/165513 VEERSAMY et al **Group Art Unit** Examiner REW 1773

Office Action Summary -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ______ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-15-02 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** Of the above claim(s)______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.

□ Claim(s) 38 - 46, 48 - 59, 61 - 63

ie/are rejected. □ Claim(s) ______ is/are objected to. requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)** ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_____. ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:______. Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Other_____

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office **PTO-326** (Rev. 9-97)

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/165,513

Art Unit: 1773

1. The request filed on 4-15-2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/165513 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

- 2. Applicants are requested to correct the inventors name VEERASAMY VIMAYEN to VIJAYEN VEERASAMY since it appears the VEERASAMY is the surname.
- 3. Claims 38-46, 48-59, 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veerasamy ref BU alone for the reasons of record or in combination with Weiler ref BQ (of record) and Nakayama et al.

The publication by Mr. Weiler published over a year prior to the U.S. priority documents and constitute prior art to the claims of this application.

It appears that Mr. Weiler teaches the same apparatus, method and resultant product as the present application see Table 2-1 page 6; 4-3 page 38; 4-4 page 40; 6-1 page 56; 6-4 page 60; and 6-19 page 80 of Weiler.

Also the following drawings of the present application correspond to those of Weiler:

| Application | Weiler |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| 1A | Fig 6-35 page 96 |
| 1B | Fig 6-37 page 97 |
| 3B | Fig 3-3 |
| 3D | Fig 3-6 |
| 3F | Fig 4-8 inset Figure 4-6a |
| 3E | Fig 4-9, 4-10, 4-11 |

Application/Control Number: 09/165,513

Art Unit: 1773

Also see 7-5 Ar⁺ and C₂H₂⁺ at 92eV per C atom.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method and apparatus of Weiler to produce layers of highly tetrahedral amorphous carbon optimizing properties within the ranges disclosed by Weiler and/or Veerasamy tailored to a particular end use.

Note that the process limitations of claims 59 and 60 carry no weight in these article claims unless it can be demonstrated that they produce a patentably distinct product.

Since both Mr. Veerasamy and Mr. Weiler are named as inventors of the present application it is requested that they particularly point out the unobvious advances of the present invention from their previous work (i.e. references BU and BQ).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the carbon layer of Veerasamy or Weiler on the medium of Nakayawa et al since the carbon layer would be harder and more durable. Nakayama et al teach the use of carbon in the 3-15 thickness angstrom, range in order to reduce spacing loss. (See also Takahashi cited below).

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takahashi et al is cited for teaching a highly tetrahedral amorphous carbon film used as a protective layer on a magnetic recording medium.

 The thickness of the film may be a 20 Å –200A Table 3 and the Id/Ig value is 0.8 to 3.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan Resan whose telephone number is 703-308-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday; 7:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5436 for regular communications and 703-305-5436 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Resan:mv June 10, 2002

> STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER